INITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS JUL 1 1 2007 FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/540,449 06/22/2005 Benedetta Crescenzi ITR0046YP 07/03/2007 210 7590 MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000

EXAMINER MOORE, SUSANNA

CONFIRMATION NO. 1421

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 1624

MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

07/03/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907

ř.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A 44 Occurrence	10/540,449	CRESCENZI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susanna Moore	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ap	oril 2007.	
· — ·	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	•
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	red.
	·	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/06, 4/12/07. 		Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group (III) in the reply filed on 4/12/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that unity of invention exists between Groups (I-IV) because the special technical feature is the fused bicyclic hydroxypyrimidinone carboxamide core and there is no serious search burden. This is not found persuasive because the entire heterocyclic ring system is the feature, as Applicant pointed out. Furthermore, the different heterocyclic ring systems do not belong to the same class. Indeed, each heterocycle has its own name and provides its own class of compounds. The Markush group clearly lacks unity of invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

Specification -

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: SUBSTITUTED PYRIMIDO[1,2-a]AZEPINE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS USEFUL AS HIV INTEGRASE INIHBITORS.

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: the 79th species is missing a parenthesis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections

3. Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected as drawn to an improper Markush group, as these claims contain both elected and non-elected subject matter, which are parts of different inventions. The choices are not art-recognized equivalents for reasons set forth in the requirement for restriction. Deletion of non-elected subject matter will overcome the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 2, 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 1, 2, 12-14 and 16, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are

Application/Control Number: 10/540,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The definition for R4, point 2, 3 and 10 have seven "e.g." throughout.

- 5. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim s 3 and 5 recite the limitation "SO₂CH₃, or C(O)NH(CH₃)" in R4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim s 3 and 5 recite the limitation "N[SO₂N(CH₃)₂]SO₂R¹⁸" and "N[SO₂N(CH₃)₂]CH₂C(O)N(CH₃)₂" in R1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1 and 9 the variable "HetA" and "heteroaromatic ring" which defines R4 on page 7, "...optionally substituted...oxo." This is impossible; aromatic rings have only one hydrogen on each carbon and oxo requires two hydrogen to replaart to which it pertains, invention of these claims. diseases. The only established prophylactics d]pyrimidine compounds such as present here. 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,449 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The therapeutic agents in claim 13 are relative terms, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "HIV protease inhibitor," "non-nucleoside HIV reverse transcriptase" and "nucleoside HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitors" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprized of the scope of the invention. The nature of the instant invention where the method of use claims consist of the compounds according to claim 1 and an additional active ingredient, i.e. HIV protease inhibitor, which is as a therapeutic agent.

9. Claims 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing diseases. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art with which it is most nearly connected, to use Applicants invention. Applicants are not enabled for "preventing" an infection by HIV or for "preventing... or delaying the onset of AIDS." In addition, it is presumed that "prevention" of the claimed disease would require a method of identifying those individuals who will develop the claimed diseases before they exhibit symptoms. There is no evidence of record that would guide the skilled clinician to identify those who have the potential of becoming afflicted.

The factors to be considered in making an enablement rejection were summarized above. 1) As discussed above, preventing diseases requires identifying those patients who will acquire the disease before Parkinsonism occurs. This would require extensive and potentially opened ended clinical research on healthy subjects. 2) The passages spanning line 14, page 27 to line 23, lists the diseases Applicants intend to treat. 3) There is no working example of such a preventive procedure in man or animal in the specification. 4) The claims rejected are drawn to clinical neurology and are therefore physiological in nature. 5) The state of the art is that no general procedure is art-recognized for determining which patients generally will suffer Parkinson's disease before the fact. 6) The artisan using Applicants invention would be a Board Certified physician in neurological diseases with an MD degree and several years of experience. Despite intensive efforts, pharmaceutical science has been unable to find a way of getting a compound to be effective for the prevention of movement disorders generally. Under such circumstances, it is proper for the PTO to require evidence that such an unprecedented feat has actually been accomplished, In re Ferens, 163 USPO 609. No such evidence has been presented in this case. The failure of skilled scientists to achieve a goal is substantial evidence that achieving such a goal is beyond the skill of practitioners in that art, Genentech vs. Novo Nordisk, 42 USPQ2nd 1001, 1006. This establishes that it is not reasonable to any agent to be able to prevent movement disorders generally. That is, the skill is so low that no compound effective generally against movement disorders has ever been found let alone one that can prevent such conditions. 7) It is well established that "the scope of enablement varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved", and physiological activity is generally considered to be an unpredictable factor. See In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). 8)

Application/Control Number: 10/540,449

Art Unit: 1624

The claims broadly read on all patients, not just those undergoing therapy for the claimed diseases and on the multitude of compounds embraced by Formula (I). The Examiner suggests deletion of the word "preventing" and the phrase "delaying the onset."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna Moore whose telephone number is (571) 272-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,449

Art Unit: 1624

SM 6/22/07

Brenda Coleman

Page 8

Brenda L. Coleman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624
Technology Center 1600

			-			PRICE AND TRADETERS OFFICE; U.S DEPARTMENT OF	COMMERCE
•	Substitute for form 1449A/PTO				COMPLETE IF KNOWN		
]	INFORMATIO	N DISCL	OSURE	Application Number	10/540,449	
	DIP	TATEMENT	DV ADDI	ICA NIT	Filing Date	June 22, 2005	
	1	~	DI AFFL	JCANI	First Named Inventor	Benedetta Crescenzi	
A E	R 24	2006		\	Group Art Unit	1615	
PARTE		(use as many sh	eers as nece	ssary)	Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned	
"	Sheet	1	of	3	Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP	
	_						

			U.S. P	TENT DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document Number	Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY
/SM/	1	6,380,249	Bl	Young, et al.	04/30/2002
	2	6,306,891	B1	Selnick, et al.	10/23/2001
/SM/	3	6,262,055	Bı	Young, et al.	07/17/2001
/SM/	4	2003/0055071	Al	Anthony, et al.	03/20/2003
7SM/	5	2004/229909	Al	Kiyama, et al.	11/18/2004
/SM/	6	2005/0075356	Al	DiFrancesco, et al.	04/07/2005
	7	2005/0025774	Al	Crescenzi, et al.	02/03/2005
	8	2004/106627	A1	Gardelli, et al.	06/03/2004
	9	2005/0119482	Al	Egbertson, et al.	06/02/2005
/SM/	10	2004/0110804	A1	Walker, et al.	06/10/2004
/SM/	11	2004/0204498	Al	Walker, et al.	10/14/2004
/SM/	12	2004/0229892	Al	Naidu, et al.	11/18/2004
	-,				
			<u> </u>		
			\dashv		
			_		

			FORI	EIGN PATENT	DOCUMENTS	•
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Office	Foreign Patent Docum Number	ent Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY
/SM/	13	wo	01/00578	Al	Tularik, Inc.	01/04/2001
/SM/	14	wo	02/30930	A2	Merck & Co., Inc.	04/18/2002
/SM/	15	wo	02/30426	Al	Merck & Co., Inc.	04/18/2002
/SM/	16	wo	02/055079	A2	Merck & Co., Inc.	07/18/2002
/SM/	17	wo	02/036734	A2	Merck & Co., Inc.	05/10/2002
/SM/	18	wo	03/016275	Al	Shionogi & Co., Ltd.	02/27/2003
/SM/	19	wo	03/35076	Al	Istituto Di Ricerche Di Biologia Molecolare P. Angeletti S.P.A.	05/01/2003
	20	wo	03/35077	Al	Angeletti S.P.A. Istituto Di Ricerche Di Biologia Molecolare P. Angeletti S.P.A	05/01/2003
	21	wo	03/062204	Al	Merck & Co., Inc.	07/31/2003
SM/	22	wo	01/94351	A1	Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	12/13/2001

Examiner Signature /Susanna Moore/ Date Considered '06/22/2007			
		Considered	[*] 06/22/2007

^{*}Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Communication for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO				COMPLETE IF KNOWN		
1	NFORMATIO	N DIS	CLOSURE	Application Number	10/540,449	
٠	er a green generalen er	37 A D	DIICANIT	Filing Date	June 22, 2005	•
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			PLICANI	First Named Inventor	Benedetta Crescenzi	
				Group Art Unit	1615	
	(use as many she	eis as n	ecessary)	Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned	
Sheet	2	of	3	Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document Number		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY		

			FORE	IGN PATENT	DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Office	Foreign Patent Docume Number	nt Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY
/SM/	23	WO 02/	06246	Al	Istituto Di Ricerche Di Biologia Molecolare P. Angeletti S.P.A	01/24/2002
			<u> </u>			<u> </u>
					I Pour	4

Examiner Signature /Susanna Moore/ Date Considered 06/22/2007

^{*}Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Approved for use through 7/31/2006. CMB 0651-0031 SUBSTITUTE for PTO/SB/03A (07-05). Information Disclosure Statement by Applicant Patrick and Trademark Office; U.S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO						COMPLETE IF KNOWN
	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Application Number	10/540,449
	ድጥ ል ባጥሮ አለበሮ አነጥ 10 %	7 A 10	DI ICANT	٠	Filing Date	June 22, 2005
	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				First Named Inventor	Benedetta Crescenzi
					Group Art Unit	1615
(use as many sheets as necessary)					Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned
Shee	3 .	of	3	.'	Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author, title, date, page(s), volume-issue number(s) and place of publication.						
/SM/	24	Ratner, L., et al., "Complete Nucleotide Sequence of the AIDS Virus, HTLV-III", Nature, Vol. 313, pp. 277-284, (1985)						
/SM/	25	Toh, H., et al., "Close Structural Resemblance Between Putative Polymerase of a Drosphila Transposable Genetic Element 17.6 and Pol Gene Product of Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus", EMBO Journal, Vol. 4, No. 5, pp. 1267-1272, (1985)						
/SM/	26	Power, M.D., et al., "Nucleotide Sequence of SRV-1, a Type D Simian Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome Retrovirus", Science, Vol. 231, pp. 1567-1572, (1986)						
/SM/	27	Pearl, L.H., et al., "A Structural Model for the Retroviral Proteases", Nature, Vol. 329, pp. 351-354, (1987)						
:								
	1							

Examiner Signature	/Susanna Moore/	Date Considered	06/22/2007

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO			COMPLETE IF KNOWN	
INFORMATION DI	SCLOSURE	Application Number	10/540,449	
STATEMENT RY A	DDI ICANT	Filing Date	June 22, 2005	
<i>y</i> ,		First Named Inventor	Crescenzi, B. et al.	
APR 12 7007 www.		Group Art Unit	1624	
use as many speets a	s necessary)	Examiner Name	Moore, Susanna	
19.61	f 1	Attorney Docket Number	ITR0046YP	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS										
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document Number	Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY					
/SM/		7,169,780		Crescenzi, B. et al.	01/30/2007					
/SM/		2006/0258860		Crescenzi, B. et al.	11/16/2006					
			_							
			_							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
					_					

			FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS										
1	Foreign Patent Document				Date of Publication of								
ite Vo.	Office	Number	Kind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·										
				•									
			o. Office Number	Office Number Code (if known)	Office Number Code (If known) of Cited Document								

Examiner Signature /Susanna Moore/ Date Considered 06/22/2007

^{*}Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, 1000 CHIEST WELL THE